

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW
JERSEY

**IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON
TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MICHELLE SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

**JOHNSON & JOHNSON; JOHNSON
& JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.**

**MDL No. 2738 (FLW)(LHG)
JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON
MAG. JUDGE LOUIS H. GOODMAN**

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

CIVIL ACTION No. 3:21-cv-15662

DIRECT FILE ACTION

**SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
AND JURY DEMAND**

The Plaintiff named below files this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff files this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder products:
Michelle Sullivan.
2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff is a citizen of Ellis County in Midlothian, TX.
3. Consortium Claim: The following individual allege damages for loss of consortium:
4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims: n/a
Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: n/a
5. Plaintiff was born on 5/6/1969.
6. Plaintiff is filing this case on behalf of herself.
7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff suffered personal and economic injuries that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

X injury to herself

X injury to the person represented

wrongful death

survivorship action

X economic loss

X loss of services

_____ loss of consortium

_____ other: _____

Identification of Defendants

8. Plaintiff is suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that apply)¹:

Johnson & Johnson

Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

_____ Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")

_____ Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")

Additional Defendants:

_____ Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): _____

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:

Diversity of Citizenship

_____ Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure).

Venue:

10. District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*

might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: N.D. Tex.

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

11. Plaintiffs currently resides in Midlothian, TX.
12. At the time of the Plaintiff's diagnosis with a talcum powder products injury, Plaintiff resided in Midlothian, TX.
13. The Plaintiff was diagnosed with a talcum powder products injury in: Dallas, TX in May, 2006.
14. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff began using talcum in powder products on or about the following date: 1984 and continued the use of talcum powder products through about the following date: 2005.
15. The Plaintiff purchased talcum powder products in the following State: TX.
16. Plaintiff used the following talcum powder products:

_____ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder

_____ Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

17. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff:

Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn

(Against Imerys Talc)

Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn
(Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer
and Design (Against Imerys Talc)

Count IV: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective
Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson &
Johnson Defendants)

Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson
& Johnson Defendants)

Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the
Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular
Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)

Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson
Defendants)

Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)

Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of
Louisiana (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).

Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)

Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson
Defendants)

Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)

Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)

Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)

Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)

Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)

Furthermore, Plaintiff asserts the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendants identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff in a manner complying with the requirements the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: August 18, 2021

Respectfully Submitted by,

By: /s/ Daniel J. Thornburgh
Daniel J. Thornburgh
Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis, & Overholtz
17 East Main Street, Suite 200
Pensacola, FL 32502
Telephone: 850-202-1010
Fax: 850-916-7449
dthornburgh@awkolaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff